

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/816,139	STARBUCK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Venkat Perungavoor	2132	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Venkat Perungavoor. (3) _____.

(2) Colby C. Nuttall. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent 7219137 to Smith et al. (hereinafter Smith) & US Patent Pub 2002/0198943 to Zhuang et al. (hereinafter Zhuang).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant discussed the invention. And mentioned that the side channel and comparing of hash values was absent in the cited prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Venkat Perungavoor/
Examiner, Art Unit 2132

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required